UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT
DIS	STRICT OF MINNESOTA
)
SECURITY SYSTEM ('844)	NG AND) MDL No. 11-2249 (DWF/SEF PATENT)
LITIGATION)
This Document Relates t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
All Actions) 10:15 a.m.
BEFORE THE HONORABLE DONOVAN W. FRANK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
PRETRI	IAL STATUS CONFERENCE
APPEARANCES:	
For the Plaintiff:	Farney Daniels LLP
	BRYAN FARNEY 800 S. Austin Avenue, Suite 200
	Austin, Texas 78626-5845
And	
	Alan Anderson Law Firm LLC ALAN M. ANDERSON
	Suite 1260 The Colonnade
	5500 Wayzata Boulevard Minneapolis, Minnesota 55416
066	TEANNE M. ANDEDGON, DWD DDD
Official Court Reporter	Suite 146 U.S. Courthouse
	316 North Robert Street St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
_	ed by mechanical stenography;
transcript produced by	computer.

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2	Tood Tiesen Games!	
3	Lead Liason Counsel For the Defendants:	Barnes & Thornburg LLP DOUGLAS J. WILLIAMS
4		225 South Sixth Street Suite 2800
5		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-4662
6	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	Fish & Richardson P.C.
7	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	MICHAEL E. FLOREY
8		3200 RBC Plaza 60 South Sixth Street Minneapolia Minneapola 55403
9	7. 1	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
10	And	
11		Jones Day DANIEL T. CONRAD
12		2727 N. Harwood Street Dallas, Texas 75201
13	And	
14		Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
15		Garrett & Dunner, LLP JAMES R. BARNEY
16		JOSE M. RECIO 901 New York Avenue, NW
17		Washington, DC 20001-4413
18	And	
19		Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP SAMUEL DESAI
20		745 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10151
21	And	
22		Shearman & Sterling, LLP
23		SCOTT DOYLE 801 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
24		Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004-2634
25		

1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	
3	FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
4	
5	XRS Corporation BRUCE B. MCPHEETERS
6	965 Prairie Center Drive Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

2.2

IN CHAMBERS CONFERENCE ROOM

THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: In the interest of a good record and out of respect to the lawyers who have come here, we will just make a record as we would in the courtroom. We are just going to make a short record to confirm what we discussed, much like we did the last time we were together.

And we can start -- well, Mr. Anderson, do you want to start with you and go right around the room?

MR. ANDERSON: Alan M. Anderson for PJC Logistics.

MR. FARNEY: Brian Farney for PJC Logistics. Jose Recio for Toyota Motor Sales. James Barney for Toyota Motor Sales. Michael Florey from Fish & Richardson for Xata and the the Xata Customer Defendants.

MR. DESAI: Sam Desai from Frommers, Lawrence & Haug for FleetMatics and SageQuest.

Good morning. Dan Conrad with Jones Day, and I am here on behalf of Hyudai Motors of America.

MR. DOYLE: Good morning. Scott Doyle with Shearman & Sterling, and I am here on behalf of Mercedes-Benz, USA.

MR. WILLIAMS: And Doug Williams, Barnes & Thornburg, Liaison Counsel for the Defendants.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: What I would

suggest the record should reflect, that we have been meeting in a conference room. And rather than go to the courtroom, since we have discussed modification of a previously agreed to, with some modifications, pretrial schedule, with some updates on the deadline dates, some other agreements on a proposed date to get together here, rather -- I will just start with you, Mr. Williams, because you kind of led things off earlier this morning.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

At the end, I will wait until we have got anything that any of you wish on the record, just to confirm the status of the case today. And then after Judge Rau has anything, when you are each done, I will just confirm the next day we are due back in here, since we have agreed it won't be October or November. And maybe I will indicate now the next date then that would create was December 5th that we would be back here. Unless we get close to that date. And I candidly acknowledge we didn't discuss this earlier off the record; but, if one or more of you or all of you are saying, well, December is going to be much like -- could be much like October and November. Then unless the Court wants us here, we are not so sure. But, right now that date would be December 5th, the way we set these schedules up. So, unless we change that today, that is the way it will -- I mean, that would be the next time we got together here in the Twin Cities.

1 MR. FARNEY: To the point earlier made about the 2 efficiency and saving of the Judges' time, I think we would 3 agree that the December 5th date probably -- we won't be 4 much further along to really add anything there, unless we 5 need to contact the Court, which we can do it in the same 6 procedure before. Mr. Williams and I will meet by phone a 7 week before that date and then let you know if we need a conference. But, I think it is the same. 8 9 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: What is the 10 sense of the group, Mr. Williams? Anyone else who wants to 11 have their say? 12 Anybody have any thoughts on that? MR. WILLIAMS: 13 My sense is that that would probably be accurate, that if we 14 needed something, Judge, we could call you, especially in 15 view of the fact that just a few weeks later we will be 16 teeing things up to come back in with Magistrate Judge 17 Rau --18 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Well, why 19 don't we do this? Why don't we, absent an objection from 20 His Honor here, or anyone else, why don't we just set our 21 next conference, like we have had today on --2.2 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE RAU: It will be 23 that week of January 28th. MR. FARNEY: That is fine. 24 25 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: The 28th.

1	think we can do that expeditiously so it didn't interfere
2	with why don't we just do that, build that into the
3	schedule now. And then if something happens where one or
4	more of you are saying, well, that sure seemed like the
5	thing to do back in September, but we really need access to
6	the Court before then, then we will designate that as the
7	next get together. So, all right?
8	THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE RAU: Okay.
9	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: So then I
10	guess I interrupted you.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, rather than going
12	through each of the individual dates, what I would propose
13	is that
14	THE COURT: I was hoping you wouldn't do that, but
15	you go right ahead.
16	MR. WILLIAMS: We would then just amend the
17	scheduling order as we discussed earlier and we will get
18	that then to Plaintiffs. And once we have reached an
19	agreement in the next couple of days on that, we will submit
20	it to the Court.
21	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: That seems
22	acceptable. Is that acceptable to everyone here?
23	MR. FARNEY: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Plaintiffs' point of view? Anything
25	you would like to put on the record?

MR. FARNEY: No, nothing.

2.2

MR. ANDERSON: Lifting of the stay on discovery.

THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Thank you for the reminder. The record would reflect that if we go back to a prior Order that the Court entered, pending — there was some motion practice and pending a decision or two by the Court, not dissimilar from other cases, we had stayed discovery. I will vacate that stay at that time so we can proceed consistent with this order that has been essentially agreed upon. So, that is vacated and we will — I will probably just do — unless there is something else that needs to do in these days of e-filing, a formal order, I will have my calendar clerk do a text entry only order just vacating that, unless for some reason there needs to be a follow-up formal order done. There will be a docket entry that will make that clear, effective today. So —

MR. FARNEY: The only other point, Your Honor, was we have agreed that the parties are going to meet and confer over the next 30 days or so on an exchange of some informal information about -- relevant to damages, without that constituting a full damages discovery process to facilitate the mediation with Judge Rau in January. And the parties will get back to Judge Rau with what we have agreed on or if we have a difference of opinion, we will get back to him on that.

THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE RAU: And what I understood is that over and above the agreement about the sort of informal exchange about damages discovery, that the parties were going to confer with each other about what they thought they would collectively provide to me in anticipation of four days of settlement conference that I have set aside for now, January 28th through 31st, 2013.

2.2

But, what they would collectively provide me, and or to the extent appropriate, provide me on an ex parte confidential basis to educate me in anticipation of this settlement conference. I will probably abstain from issuing a formal order with respect to the settlement conference until later in October, after you have perhaps edified me a little bit. Because I may tailor that order to conform to some of the information or issues that you raised with me, rather than just sort of sending out the canned order.

MR. FARNEY: And do you -- is your practice that you would like a mediation brief from both sides?

THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE RAU: Well, that is what I -- when I issue that order, I will direct you what I want. In part, I want you to educate me on what you think would be useful to tell me first, and then I will probably confer with Judge Frank and his chambers, as well as my colleagues in my chambers about what additional information we may ask from the parties, and include that in the order.

1 The order will be very specific in terms of what my 2 expectations are from you. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Adding to that, we also had agreed 4 that what we would do around that same time period in one 5 month is to give you a report on our proposal for streamlined discovery dispute resolution. And so, we will 6 also conclude that discussion in advance, and we will put 7 8 that in the mix of things that we report to you in a month. 9 THE HONORABLE MAGISTRATE JUDGE RAU: Okay, great. 10 THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Anyone else 11 want to be heard? 12 MR. BARNEY: I would like to just ask for 13 clarification. I may not have heard Mr. Farney from way 14 down here at the end of the table. I do understand the 15 parties have discussed the possibility of obtaining 16 information prior to these settlement conferences at the end 17 of January. You said something about 30 days and I wasn't 18 sure if you meant that that is in the next 30 days or 30 19 days -- within the 30-day period, itself? 20 MR. FARNEY: I was talking about exchanging 21 information. I think we would agree in the next 30 days or 2.2 so, we would agree on what we are going to exchange and the 23 framework. And then the timing, I guess, could be part of 24 that.

Right.

MR. BARNEY:

25

1	MR. FARNEY: That is what I meant to say.
2	MR. WILLIAMS: And just for the sake of clarity,
3	why don't we, since today is the 12th, set Friday, October
4	the 12th, as a date where we will get everything done that
5	we are going to do by reaching agreement on these various
6	points that are open. And we can discuss that in the next
7	couple of days and kick that off. But, our target date then
8	for submission of our reports to Magistrate Judge Rau will
9	be October 12th. Agreeable?
10	MR. FARNEY: Yes that is fine.
11	THE HONORABLE JUDGE DONOVAN FRANK: Counsel,
12	anything further before we adjourn?
13	MR. FARNEY: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you all. We will stand
15	adjourned.
16	(Adjournment.)
17	
18	* * *
19	
20	I, Jeanne M. Anderson, certify that the foregoing
21	is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in
22	the above-entitled matter.
23	
24	Certified by: <u>s/ Jeanne M. Anderson</u> Jeanne M. Anderson, RMR-RPR
25	Official Court Reporter